

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

		_			
Bill # SB0131		Title: Requi	re DNRC to designate wil	ldland-urban interface	
Primary Sponsor: Williams, Carol		Status: As Int	roduced		
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact	✓ Needs to be inc	luded in HB 2	✓ Technical Concerns	al Concerns	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget	Significant Long	Significant Long-Term Impacts		Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
	FISCAL	SUMMARY			
	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures:					
Federal Special Revenue	\$147,327	\$140,527	\$144,040	\$147,641	
Revenue:					
Federal Special Revenue	\$147,327	\$140,527	\$144,040	\$147,641	
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	e \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Description of fiscal impact:

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC):

SB 131 will require two additional FTE and supporting operating funds to implement in the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC).

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC):

- 1. 1.00 FTE forester would provide program implementation, oversight, and county coordination. These personal services costs are estimated to be \$53,029 annually.
- 2. 1.00 FTE cartographer would provide GIS support and contract administration. These personal services costs are estimated to be \$57,498 annually.
- 3. Start-up costs are estimated to be \$6,800 in FY 2010 only.
- 4. Operating costs, which include substantial travel and contract costs for GIS support (\$30,000 annually), have been included.
- 5. A 2.5% inflationary factor is applied to FY 2012 and FY 2013.
- 6. The DNRC anticipates federal funding to be available through the Federal National Fire Plan.

State Auditor's Office (SAO):

7. This SAO anticipates only a nominal affect on rate filings or consumer complaints as a result of the bill.

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>			
Fiscal Impact:							
FTE	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00			
Expenditures:							
Personal Services	\$110,527	\$110,527	\$113,290	\$116,122			
Operating Expenses	\$36,800	\$30,000	\$30,750	\$31,519			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$147,327	\$140,527	\$144,040	\$147,641			
Funding of Expenditures: Federal Special Revenue	\$147,327	\$140,527	\$144,040	\$147,641			
Revenues: Federal Special Revenue	\$147,327	\$140,527	\$144,040	\$147,641			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):							
Federal Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Technical Notes:

State Auditor's Office (SAO):

- 1. New section subsection 33-24-102(2):
 - a. could allow unfair discriminatory rates which is contrary to 33-18-210(5), MCA.
 - b. "an insured's premium rate based solely" on the location would be very difficult to prove as written. The insurance carriers capture statistics by protection class and could use those to increase rates in those areas.
 - c. similar laws are currently in 33-18-210(9) and (10), MCA, on auto insurance or in a new section of Chapter 24.
 - d. what is to be included as "property" in line 27 of page 2?
- 2. New section 1 establishes designated wild land urban interface (WUI) parcels in each county and the use of a protection plan, if one has been adopted. It could be problematic for the DNRC to establish their criteria without consideration of insurers underwriting guidelines as they could conflict with each other.

		<u> </u>	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date